

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

WEALTH TAX REFERENCE No 120 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI and
MR.JUSTICE A.R.DAVE

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

COMMISSIONER OF WEALTH TAX

Versus

KATHYA SETTLEMENT

Appearance:

MR P.G. DESAI with MR MANISH R BHATT for Petitioner
Respondent served

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI and
MR.JUSTICE A.R.DAVE

Date of decision: 29/01/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT (Per R.K.Abichandani,J.)

The Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, Ahmedabad has referred for the opinion of this Court the following question under Section 27(1) of the Wealth Tax Act, 1957.

"Whether the Appellate Tribunal is right in law
and on facts in directing the Assessing Officer
to charge rate at normal rate as against the
maximum marginal rate of 3% charged by the WTO?"

A similar question has been answered by us today
in Wealth Tax Reference No. 43/93. Since the facts are
similar and the question involved almost identical, we do
not find it necessary to reproduce the facts of this
case. For the reasons given by us in our judgement and
order delivered in Wealth Tax Reference No. 43/93 today,
we answer the question referred to us in the affirmative
in favour of the assessee and against the Revenue. The
reference stands disposed of accordingly with no order as
to costs.

*/Mohandas